



November 1, 2018

**VIA ONLINE PORTAL**

Sabrina Burroughs  
FOIA Officer  
U.S. Customs & Border Protection  
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Room 3.3D  
Washington, DC 20229  
Via FOIAonline

**Re: Freedom of Information Act Request**

Dear Freedom of Information Officer:

Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. § 552, and your agency's implementing regulations, American Oversight makes the following request for records.

**Requested Records**

American Oversight requests that your agency produce the following within twenty business days:

All records reflecting communications (including emails, email attachments, calendar invitations/entries) between the custodians listed below and McLaurine Klingler, Executive Assistant in the White House Office within the Executive Office of the President.

Please search the records of the following custodians:

1. Any political appointee\* in the immediate offices of the Commissioner and Deputy Commissioner, including:
  - a. Commissioner and former Acting Commissioner Kevin McAleenan
  - b. Former Acting Deputy Commissioner Ronald Vitiello
  - c. Former Deputy Assistant Commissioner Carla Provost
  - d. Anyone communicating on behalf of the Commissioner, Deputy Commissioner, or Deputy Assistant Commissioner
2. Chief of the U.S. Border Patrol and former acting Chief of Border Patrol Carla Provost, and anyone communicating on her behalf
3. Executive Director, Office of Trade Relations, Bradley Hayes, and anyone communicating on his behalf

\*"Political appointee" should be understood as any person who is a Presidential Appointee with Senate Confirmation (PAS), a Presidential Appointee (PA), a non-career SES, any Schedule C employees, or any persons hired under Temporary Non-Career SES



Appointments, Limited Term SES Appointments, or Temporary Transitional Schedule C Appointments.

Please provide all responsive records from January 20, 2017, through February 18, 2018.

In addition to the records requested above, American Oversight also requests records describing the processing of this request, including records sufficient to identify search terms used and locations and custodians searched and any tracking sheets used to track the processing of this request. If your agency uses FOIA questionnaires or certifications completed by individual custodians or components to determine whether they possess responsive materials or to describe how they conducted searches, we also request any such records prepared in connection with the processing of this request.

American Oversight seeks all responsive records regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics. In conducting your search, please understand the terms “record,” “document,” and “information” in their broadest sense, to include any written, typed, recorded, graphic, printed, or audio material of any kind. We seek records of any kind, including electronic records, audiotapes, videotapes, and photographs, as well as letters, emails, facsimiles, telephone messages, voice mail messages and transcripts, notes, or minutes of any meetings, telephone conversations or discussions. Our request includes any attachments to these records. **No category of material should be omitted from search, collection, and production.**

Please search all records regarding agency business. **You may not exclude searches of files or emails in the personal custody of your officials, such as personal email accounts.** Records of official business conducted using unofficial systems or stored outside of official files are subject to the Federal Records Act and FOIA.<sup>1</sup> **It is not adequate to rely on policies and procedures that require officials to move such information to official systems within a certain period of time; American Oversight has a right to records contained in those files even if material has not yet been moved to official systems or if officials have, through negligence or willfulness, failed to meet their obligations.**<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> See *Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy*, 827 F.3d 145, 149–50 (D.C. Cir. 2016); cf. *Judicial Watch, Inc. v. Kerry*, 844 F.3d 952, 955–56 (D.C. Cir. 2016).

<sup>2</sup> See *Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy*, No. 14-cv-765, slip op. at 8 (D.D.C. Dec. 12, 2016) (“The Government argues that because the agency had a policy requiring [the official] to forward all of his emails from his [personal] account to his business email, the [personal] account only contains duplicate agency records at best. Therefore, the Government claims that any hypothetical deletion of the [personal account] emails would still leave a copy of those records intact in [the official’s] work email. However, policies are rarely followed to perfection by anyone. At this stage of the case, the Court cannot assume that each and every work-related email in the [personal] account was duplicated in [the official’s] work email account.” (citations omitted)).

In addition, please note that in conducting a “reasonable search” as required by law, you must employ the most up-to-date technologies and tools available, in addition to searches by individual custodians likely to have responsive information. Recent technology may have rendered your agency’s prior FOIA practices unreasonable. **In light of the government-wide requirements to manage information electronically by the end of 2016, it is no longer reasonable to rely exclusively on custodian-driven searches.**<sup>3</sup> Furthermore, agencies that have adopted the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) Capstone program, or similar policies, now maintain emails in a form that is reasonably likely to be more complete than individual custodians’ files. For example, a custodian may have deleted a responsive email from his or her email program, but your agency’s archiving tools would capture that email under Capstone. Accordingly, American Oversight insists that your agency use the most up-to-date technologies to search for responsive information and take steps to ensure that the most complete repositories of information are searched. American Oversight is available to work with you to craft appropriate search terms. **However, custodian searches are still required; agencies may not have direct access to files stored in .PST files, outside of network drives, in paper format, or in personal email accounts.**

Under the FOIA Improvement Act of 2016, agencies must adopt a presumption of disclosure, withholding information “only if . . . disclosure would harm an interest protected by an exemption” or “disclosure is prohibited by law.”<sup>4</sup> If it is your position that any portion of the requested records is exempt from disclosure, American Oversight requests that you provide an index of those documents as required under *Vaughn v. Rosen*, 484 F.2d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973), *cert. denied*, 415 U.S. 977 (1974). As you are aware, a *Vaughn* index must describe each document claimed as exempt with sufficient specificity “to permit a reasoned judgment as to whether the material is actually exempt under FOIA.”<sup>5</sup> Moreover, the *Vaughn* index “must describe *each* document or portion thereof withheld, and for *each* withholding it must discuss the consequences of disclosing the sought-after information.”<sup>6</sup> Further, “the withholding agency must supply ‘a relatively detailed justification, specifically identifying the reasons why a particular exemption is relevant and correlating those claims with the particular part of a withheld document to which they apply.’”<sup>7</sup>

In the event some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records. If it is your position that a document contains non-exempt segments, but that those non-exempt segments are

---

<sup>3</sup> Presidential Memorandum—Managing Government Records, 76 Fed. Reg. 75,423 (Nov. 28, 2011), <https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/the-press-office/2011/11/28/presidential-memorandum-managing-government-records>; Office of Mgmt. & Budget, Exec. Office of the President, Memorandum for the Heads of Executive Departments & Independent Agencies, “Managing Government Records Directive,” M-12-18 (Aug. 24, 2012), <https://www.archives.gov/files/records-mgmt/m-12-18.pdf>.

<sup>4</sup> FOIA Improvement Act of 2016 § 2 (Pub. L. No. 114–185).

<sup>5</sup> *Founding Church of Scientology v. Bell*, 603 F.2d 945, 949 (D.C. Cir. 1979).

<sup>6</sup> *King v. U.S. Dep’t of Justice*, 830 F.2d 210, 223–24 (D.C. Cir. 1987) (emphases in original).

<sup>7</sup> *Id.* at 224 (citing *Mead Data Central, Inc. v. U.S. Dep’t of the Air Force*, 566 F.2d 242, 251 (D.C. Cir. 1977)).

so dispersed throughout the document as to make segregation impossible, please state what portion of the document is non-exempt, and how the material is dispersed throughout the document.<sup>8</sup> Claims of nonsegregability must be made with the same degree of detail as required for claims of exemptions in a *Vaughn* index. If a request is denied in whole, please state specifically that it is not reasonable to segregate portions of the record for release.

**You should institute a preservation hold on information responsive to this request.** American Oversight intends to pursue all legal avenues to enforce its right of access under FOIA, including litigation if necessary. Accordingly, you are on notice that litigation is reasonably foreseeable.

To ensure that this request is properly construed, that searches are conducted in an adequate but efficient manner, and that extraneous costs are not incurred, American Oversight welcomes an opportunity to discuss its request with you before you undertake your search or incur search or duplication costs. By working together at the outset, American Oversight hopes to decrease the likelihood of costly and time-consuming litigation in the future.

Where possible, please provide responsive material in electronic format by email or in PDF or TIF format on a USB drive. Please send any responsive material being sent by mail to American Oversight, 1030 15th Street NW, Suite B255, Washington, DC 20005. If it will accelerate release of responsive records to American Oversight, please also provide responsive material on a rolling basis.

### **Fee Waiver Request**

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii) and your agency's implementing regulations, American Oversight requests a waiver of fees associated with processing this request for records. The subject of this request concerns the operations of the federal government, and the disclosures will likely contribute to public understanding of those operations. Moreover, the request is primarily and fundamentally for non-commercial purposes.

American Oversight requests a waiver of fees because disclosure of the requested information is in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of activities of the government. Ms. Klingler previously served as Executive Assistant to White House Advisor Stephen Miller, who has reportedly worked behind the scenes to install immigration hardliners throughout the executive branch.<sup>9</sup> The disclosure of the information sought under this request will document and reveal the operations of the federal government, including the methods by which important, wide-reaching policy is created, implemented, and administered. There has been great public interest and media coverage regarding various facets of federal immigration policy, including the administration's ban on travel from majority-Muslim countries, harsh family separation

---

<sup>8</sup> *Mead Data Central*, 566 F.2d at 261.

<sup>9</sup> See, e.g., Abigail Tracy, "*There Won't Even Be a Paper Trail*": *Has Stephen Miller Become a Shadow Master at the State Department?*, VANITY FAIR (August 12, 2018, 9:35 AM EDT), <https://www.vanityfair.com/news/2018/08/stephen-miller-refugees-state-department>.

policies, and drastic cuts to legal immigration.<sup>10</sup> The American people have a right to understand the drivers of these policies. As described below, American Oversight has the intention and ability to disseminate the records it receives to a broad audience, allowing for greater transparency into government policy-making.

This request is primarily and fundamentally not for commercial purposes, but rather the primary interest is in public disclosure of responsive records. As a 501(c)(3) nonprofit, American Oversight does not have a commercial purpose and the release of the information requested is not in American Oversight's financial interest. American Oversight's mission is to promote transparency in government, to educate the public about government activities, and to ensure the accountability of government officials. American Oversight uses the information gathered, and its analysis of it, to educate the public through reports, press releases, or other media. American Oversight also makes materials it gathers available on its public website and promotes their availability on social media platforms, such as Facebook and Twitter.<sup>11</sup> American Oversight has demonstrated its commitment to the public disclosure of documents and creation of editorial content. For example, after

---

<sup>10</sup> See, e.g., Lawrence Hurley, *U.S. Top Court Upholds Trump Travel Ban Targeting Muslim-Majority Nations*, REUTERS (June 26, 2018, 10:22 AM), <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-usa-court-immigration/u-s-top-court-upholds-trump-travel-ban-targeting-muslim-majority-nations-idUSKBN1JMIU9>; Steve Almasy & Darran Simon, *A Timeline of President Trump's Travel Bans*, CNN (Mar. 30, 2017, 4:01 AM), <https://www.cnn.com/2017/02/10/us/trump-travel-ban-timeline/index.html>; Tal Kopan, *Republican Lawmaker: Border Wall, Family Separations Counterproductive to Security*, CNN (Aug. 27, 2018, 4:11 PM), <https://www.cnn.com/2018/08/27/politics/will-hurd-donald-trump-border-wall-central-america/index.html>; *Lawmakers Question Trump Officials on Family Separation Policy*, NPR (July 31, 2018, 7:12 PM), <https://www.npr.org/2018/07/31/634396006/lawmakers-question-trump-officials-on-family-separation-policy>; Dara Lind, *Beyond Family Separation: Trump's Ongoing War on Asylum, Explained*, VOX (Aug. 6, 2018, 9:30 AM), <https://www.vox.com/explainers/2018/8/6/17501404/trump-asylum-separate-legal-definition>; Alan Gomez, *All the Ways President Trump Is Cutting Legal Immigration*, USA TODAY (June 12, 2018, 11:47 AM), <https://www.usatoday.com/story/news/world/2018/06/12/donald-trump-cutting-legal-immigration/692447002/>; Abigail Hauslohner & Andrew Ba Tran, *How Trump Is Changing the Face of Legal Immigration*, WASH. POST, July 2, 2018, [https://www.washingtonpost.com/national/how-trump-is-changing-the-face-of-legal-immigration/2018/07/02/477c78b2-65da-11e8-99d2-0d678ec08c2f\\_story.html?utm\\_term=.74dd9da7301d](https://www.washingtonpost.com/national/how-trump-is-changing-the-face-of-legal-immigration/2018/07/02/477c78b2-65da-11e8-99d2-0d678ec08c2f_story.html?utm_term=.74dd9da7301d); Nancy Cook et al., *'Miller Is Not Deterred': Top Immigration Aide Pushing Cuts in Refugee Numbers*, POLITICO (Aug. 2, 2018, 1:28 PM), <https://www.politico.com/story/2018/08/02/trump-immigration-refugee-caps-759708>.

<sup>11</sup> American Oversight currently has approximately 11,900 page likes on Facebook and 45,600 followers on Twitter. American Oversight, FACEBOOK, <https://www.facebook.com/weareoversight/> (last visited Nov. 1, 2018); American Oversight (@weareoversight), TWITTER, <https://twitter.com/weareoversight> (last visited Nov. 1, 2018).

receiving records regarding an ethics waiver received by a senior DOJ attorney,<sup>12</sup> American Oversight promptly posted the records to its website and published an analysis of what the records reflected about DOJ's process for ethics waivers.<sup>13</sup> As another example, American Oversight has a project called "Audit the Wall," where the organization is gathering and analyzing information and commenting on public releases of information related to the administration's proposed construction of a barrier along the U.S.-Mexico border.<sup>14</sup>

Accordingly, American Oversight qualifies for a fee waiver.

### **Conclusion**

We share a common mission to promote transparency in government. American Oversight looks forward to working with your agency on this request. If you do not understand any part of this request, have any questions, or foresee any problems in fully releasing the requested records, please contact Katherine Anthony at [foia@americanoversight.org](mailto:foia@americanoversight.org) or 202.897.3918. Also, if American Oversight's request for a fee waiver is not granted in full, please contact us immediately upon making such a determination.

Sincerely,



Austin R. Evers  
Executive Director  
American Oversight

---

<sup>12</sup> *DOJ Civil Division Response Noel Francisco Compliance*, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, <https://www.americanoversight.org/document/doj-civil-division-response-noel-francisco-compliance>.

<sup>13</sup> *Francisco & the Travel Ban: What We Learned from the DOJ Documents*, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, <https://www.americanoversight.org/francisco-the-travel-ban-what-we-learned-from-the-doj-documents>.

<sup>14</sup> *Audit the Wall*, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, <https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/audit-the-wall>.