



September 30, 2019

VIA EMAIL/FOIA ONLINE

Sam Kaplan
Chief FOIA Officer
The Privacy Office
U.S. Department of Homeland Security
245 Murray Lane SW
STOP-0655
Washington, DC 20528-0655
foia@hq.dhs.gov

Sabrina Burroughs
FOIA Officer
U. S. Customs & Border Protection
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Room
3.3D
Washington, DC 20229
Via FOIAonline

Re: Freedom of Information Act Request

Dear FOIA Officer(s):

Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. § 552, and the implementing regulations of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS), 6 C.F.R. Part 5, American Oversight makes the following request for records.

On January 24, 2019, the Trump administration announced that it had implemented the Migrant Protection Protocols (MPP), a policy that requires migrants seeking asylum at the U.S.-Mexico border to wait in Mexico while their asylum requests process in U.S. immigration courts.¹ This policy has proven to be exceptionally controversial and has posed significant challenges to those attempting to enter the United States across the southern border, as migrants are forced to stay in dangerous locations, have extremely limited access to attorneys, and are much more likely to miss court dates.² American

¹ Dep't of Homeland Sec., Migrant Protection Protocols, Jan. 24, 2019, <https://www.dhs.gov/news/2019/01/24/migrant-protection-protocols>.

² Matt Gaventa, *Visiting a Tent City in Mexico Created by Trump's Migrant Protection Protocol*, THE CHRISTIAN CENTURY, Sept. 25, 2019, <https://www.christiancentury.org/article/first-person/visiting-tent-city-mexico-created-trump-s-migrant-protection-protocol>; Gaby Del Valle, *Trump's Remain in Mexico Policy Is Causing Asylum-Seekers to Miss Court Dates—and Get Deported*, VICE NEWS (Sept. 24, 2019, 11:43 AM), https://www.vice.com/en_us/article/gyzdp9/trumps-remain-in-mexico-policy-is-causing-asylum-seekers-to-miss-court-dates-and-get-deported; Emily Green, *Trump's Asylum Policies Sent Him Back to Mexico. He Was Kidnapped Five Hours Later by a Cartel*, VICE NEWS (Sept. 16, 2019, 7:23 AM), https://www.vice.com/en_us/article/pa7kkg/trumps-asylum-policies-sent-him-back-to-mexico-he-was-kidnapped-five-hours-later-by-a-cartel; J. Weston Phippen, *Trapped in Juarez: Life in the Migrant Limbo*, THE ATLANTIC, Sept. 16, 2019,



Oversight seeks records to shed light on the circumstances surrounding the program's creation and implementation.

Requested Records

American Oversight requests that your agency produce the following records within twenty business days:

1. All records reflecting any formal or informal policies, procedures, instructions, or guidance regarding lodgings or housing for asylum applicants directed back to Mexico pursuant to the Migrant Protection Protocols (MPP).³ Responsive records should include any documents provided either to DHS officials or directly to asylum applicants.
2. All records reflecting any formal or informal policies, procedures, instructions, or guidance regarding the provision of transportation for asylum applicants directed back to Mexico pursuant to the Migrant Protection Protocols (MPP), including but not limited to arrangements with the government of Mexico for any such transportation. Responsive records should include any documents provided either to DHS officials or directly to asylum applicants.
3. Any final reports, briefs, or other final documents created by or provided to DHS or CBP leadership regarding any risk of homicides, kidnapping, or other safety concerns posed to asylum-seekers subject to the Migrant Protection Protocols (MPP).

<https://www.theatlantic.com/international/archive/2019/09/us-mexico-mpp-ciudad-juarez/597796/>; Gus Bova, *Journalists Blocked from Attending Secretive Immigration Tent Courts*, TEX. OBSERVER (Sept. 11, 2019, 10:57 AM), <https://www.texasobserver.org/immigration-court-tent-laredo-trump-migrant-protection-protocols-mexico/>; Mica Rosenberg et al., *Hasty Rollout of Trump Immigration Policy Has 'Broken' Border Courts*, REUTERS (Sept. 10, 2019, 6:04 AM), <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-usa-immigration-courts-insight/hasty-rollout-of-trump-immigration-policy-has-broken-border-courts-idUSKCN1VV115>; Camilo Montoya-Galvez, *U.S. Is Returning Migrants to Places Similar to "War Zones" in Mexico, Aid Worker Warns*, CBS NEWS (Sept. 1, 2019, 2:28 PM), <https://www.cbsnews.com/news/remain-in-mexico-us-returning-migrants-to-places-similar-to-war-zones-in-mexico-under-trump-policy/>; John Burnett, *Criminals Target Migrants in Mexico Seeking U.S. Asylum*, NPR (Aug. 27, 2019, 12:00 PM), <https://www.npr.org/2019/08/27/754489426/criminals-target-migrants-in-mexico-seeking-u-s-asylum>.

³ See Dep't of Homeland Sec., *Migrant Protection Protocols*, Jan. 24, 2019, <https://www.dhs.gov/news/2019/01/24/migrant-protection-protocols>.

4. Records sufficient to show the total number of asylum seekers directed to remain in Mexico pursuant to the Migrant Protection Protocols (MPP), and/or any estimations thereof.
5. Records sufficient to show the total number of minors remaining in Mexico pursuant to the MPP, and/or any estimations thereof.

American Oversight requests that DHS search all locations and custodians likely to have responsive records, and at a minimum the records of the following officials:

- i. Kevin McAleenan
- ii. Kirstjen Nielsen
- iii. David Pekoske
- iv. Chad Wolf
- v. Christina Bobb
- vi. John Mitnick
- vii. Miles Taylor
- viii. Michael Dougherty
- ix. Dimple Shah
- x. Cameron Quinn
- xi. Julie Kirchner
- xii. Anyone serving in the capacity of White House Liaison or Advisor

American Oversight requests that CBP search all locations and custodians likely to have responsive records, and at a minimum the records of the following officials:

- i. Kevin McAleenan
- ii. Ronald Vitiello
- iii. Carla Provost
- iv. Meghann Peterlin
- v. Patrick Flanagan
- vi. Anyone serving in the capacity of White House Liaison or Advisor

Fee Waiver Request

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii) and your agency's regulations, American Oversight requests a waiver of fees associated with processing this request for records. The subject of this request concerns the operations of the federal government, and the disclosures will likely contribute to a better understanding of relevant government procedures by the general public in a significant way. Moreover, the request is primarily and fundamentally for non-commercial purposes.

American Oversight requests a waiver of fees because disclosure of the requested information is "in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of operations or activities of the government."⁴ The public has a

⁴ 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii).

significant interest in the Trump administration’s treatment of migrants at the U.S.-Mexico border, including any obstacles being placed between people and their legal asylum rights. The harm and danger imposed upon those forced to remain in Mexico as they await the immigration hearings to which they are legally entitled is a matter of significant public concern.⁵ Records with the potential to shed light on this matter would contribute significantly to public understanding of operations of the federal government, including the circumstances surrounding the administration’s significant change in policy as it relates to migrants at the southern border. American Oversight is committed to transparency and makes the responses agencies provide to FOIA requests publicly available, and the public’s understanding of the government’s activities would be enhanced through American Oversight’s analysis and publication of these records.

This request is primarily and fundamentally for non-commercial purposes.⁶ As a 501(c)(3) nonprofit, American Oversight does not have a commercial purpose and the release of the information requested is not in American Oversight’s financial interest. American Oversight’s mission is to promote transparency in government, to educate the public about government activities, and to ensure the accountability of government officials. American Oversight uses the information gathered, and its analysis of it, to educate the public through reports, press releases, or other media. American Oversight also makes materials it gathers available on its public website and promotes their availability on social media platforms, such as Facebook and Twitter.⁷

American Oversight has also demonstrated its commitment to the public disclosure of documents and creation of editorial content through numerous substantive analyses posted to its website.⁸ Examples reflecting this commitment to the public disclosure of documents and the creation of editorial content include the posting of records related to an ethics waiver received by a senior Department of Justice attorney and an analysis of what those records demonstrated regarding the Department’s process for issuing such waivers;⁹ posting records received as part of American Oversight’s “Audit the Wall” project to gather and analyze information related to the administration’s proposed construction of

⁵ See generally *supra* note 2.

⁶ See 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii).

⁷ American Oversight currently has approximately 12,200 page likes on Facebook and 54,400 followers on Twitter. American Oversight, FACEBOOK, <https://www.facebook.com/weareoversight/> (last visited Sept. 6, 2019); American Oversight (@weareoversight), TWITTER, <https://twitter.com/weareoversight> (last visited Sept. 6, 2019).

⁸ News, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, <https://www.americanoversight.org/blog>.

⁹ DOJ Records Relating to Solicitor General Noel Francisco’s Recusal, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, <https://www.americanoversight.org/document/doj-civil-division-response-noel-francisco-compliance>; *Francisco & the Travel Ban: What We Learned from the DOJ Documents*, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, <https://www.americanoversight.org/francisco-the-travel-ban-what-we-learned-from-the-doj-documents>.

a barrier along the U.S.-Mexico border, and analyses of what those records reveal;¹⁰ posting records regarding potential self-dealing at the Department of Housing & Urban Development and related analysis;¹¹ posting records and analysis relating to the federal government's efforts to sell nuclear technology to Saudi Arabia;¹² and posting records and analysis regarding the Department of Justice's decision in response to demands from Congress to direct a U.S. Attorney to undertake a wide-ranging review and make recommendations regarding criminal investigations relating to the President's political opponents and allegations of misconduct by the Department of Justice itself and the Federal Bureau of Investigation.¹³

Accordingly, American Oversight qualifies for a fee waiver.

Guidance Regarding the Search & Processing of Requested Records

In connection with its request for records, American Oversight provides the following guidance regarding the scope of the records sought and the search and processing of records:

- Please search all locations and systems likely to have responsive records, regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics. For instance, if the request seeks “communications,” please search all locations likely to contain communications, including relevant hard-copy files, correspondence files, appropriate locations on hard drives and shared drives, emails, text messages or other direct messaging systems (such as iMessage, WhatsApp, Signal, or Twitter direct messages), voicemail messages, instant messaging systems such as Lync or ICQ, and shared messages systems such as Slack.
- In conducting your search, please understand the terms “record,” “document,” and “information” in their broadest sense, to include any written, typed, recorded, graphic, printed, or audio material of any kind. We seek records of any kind, including electronic records, audiotapes, videotapes, and photographs, as well as

¹⁰ See generally *Audit the Wall*, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, <https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/audit-the-wall>; see, e.g., *Border Wall Investigation Report: No Plans, No Funding, No Timeline, No Wall*, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, <https://www.americanoversight.org/border-wall-investigation-report-no-plans-no-funding-no-timeline-no-wall>.

¹¹ *Documents Reveal Ben Carson Jr.'s Attempts to Use His Influence at HUD to Help His Business*, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, <https://www.americanoversight.org/documents-reveal-ben-carson-jr-s-attempts-to-use-his-influence-at-hud-to-help-his-business>.

¹² *Investigating the Trump Administration's Efforts to Sell Nuclear Technology to Saudi Arabia*, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, <https://www.americanoversight.org/investigating-the-trump-administrations-efforts-to-sell-nuclear-technology-to-saudi-arabia>.

¹³ *Sessions' Letter Shows DOJ Acted on Trump's Authoritarian Demand to Investigate Clinton*, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, <https://www.americanoversight.org/sessions-letter>.

letters, emails, facsimiles, telephone messages, voice mail messages and transcripts, notes, or minutes of any meetings, telephone conversations or discussions.

- Our request for records includes any attachments to those records or other materials enclosed with those records when they were previously transmitted. To the extent that an email is responsive to our request, our request includes all prior messages sent or received in that email chain, as well as any attachments to the email.
- Please search all relevant records or systems containing records regarding agency business. Do not exclude records regarding agency business contained in files, email accounts, or devices in the personal custody of your officials, such as personal email accounts or text messages. Records of official business conducted using unofficial systems or stored outside of official files are subject to the Federal Records Act and FOIA.¹⁴ It is not adequate to rely on policies and procedures that require officials to move such information to official systems within a certain period of time; American Oversight has a right to records contained in those files even if material has not yet been moved to official systems or if officials have, by intent or through negligence, failed to meet their obligations.¹⁵
- Please use all tools available to your agency to conduct a complete and efficient search for potentially responsive records. Agencies are subject to government-wide requirements to manage agency information electronically,¹⁶ and many agencies have adopted the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) Capstone program, or similar policies. These systems provide options for searching emails and other electronic records in a manner that is reasonably likely to be more complete than just searching individual custodian files. For example, a custodian may have deleted a responsive email from his or her email program, but your agency’s archiving tools may capture that email under Capstone. At the same time, custodian searches are still necessary; agencies may not have direct access to files stored in .PST files, outside of network drives, in paper format, or in personal email accounts.

¹⁴ See *Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy*, 827 F.3d 145, 149–50 (D.C. Cir. 2016); cf. *Judicial Watch, Inc. v. Kerry*, 844 F.3d 952, 955–56 (D.C. Cir. 2016).

¹⁵ See *Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy*, No. 14-cv-765, slip op. at 8 (D.D.C. Dec. 12, 2016).

¹⁶ Presidential Memorandum—Managing Government Records, 76 Fed. Reg. 75,423 (Nov. 28, 2011), <https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/the-press-office/2011/11/28/presidential-memorandum-managing-government-records>; Office of Mgmt. & Budget, Exec. Office of the President, Memorandum for the Heads of Executive Departments & Independent Agencies, “Managing Government Records Directive,” M-12-18 (Aug. 24, 2012), <https://www.archives.gov/files/records-mgmt/m-12-18.pdf>.

- In the event some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records. If a request is denied in whole, please state specifically why it is not reasonable to segregate portions of the record for release.
- Please take appropriate steps to ensure that records responsive to this request are not deleted by the agency before the completion of processing for this request. If records potentially responsive to this request are likely to be located on systems where they are subject to potential deletion, including on a scheduled basis, please take steps to prevent that deletion, including, as appropriate, by instituting a litigation hold on those records.

Conclusion

If you have any questions regarding how to construe this request for records or believe that further discussions regarding search and processing would facilitate a more efficient production of records of interest to American Oversight, please do not hesitate to contact American Oversight to discuss this request. American Oversight welcomes an opportunity to discuss its request with you before you undertake your search or incur search or duplication costs. By working together at the outset, American Oversight and your agency can decrease the likelihood of costly and time-consuming litigation in the future.

Where possible, please provide responsive material in an electronic format by email. Alternatively, please provide responsive material in native format or in PDF format on a USB drive. Please send any responsive material being sent by mail to American Oversight, 1030 15th Street NW, Suite B255, Washington, DC 20005. If it will accelerate release of responsive records to American Oversight, please also provide responsive material on a rolling basis.

We share a common mission to promote transparency in government. American Oversight looks forward to working with your agency on this request. If you do not understand any part of this request, please contact Hart Wood at foia@americanoversight.org or 202.873.1743. Also, if American Oversight's request for a fee waiver is not granted in full, please contact us immediately upon making such a determination.

Sincerely,



Austin R. Evers
Executive Director
American Oversight