



May 28, 2021

**VIA EMAIL**

The Honorable Robin Vos  
Speaker, Wisconsin State Assembly  
Room 217 West  
State Capitol  
PO Box 8953  
Madison, WI 53708  
[Rep.Vos@legis.wisconsin.gov](mailto:Rep.Vos@legis.wisconsin.gov)

**Re: Public Records Law Request**

Dear Speaker Vos:

Pursuant to Wisconsin's public records law, Wis. Stat. §§ 19.31–19.39, American Oversight makes the following request for copies of records.

On May 26, 2021, Wisconsin State Assembly Speaker Robin Vos indicated that the legislature would hire three former law enforcement officers and a supervising attorney to investigate the November 2020 election.<sup>1</sup> Speaker Vos indicated that these investigators would possess subpoena power and might potentially refer their findings to prosecutors.<sup>2</sup> This announcement follows an April announcement that the Assembly Campaigns and Elections Committee would undertake a “formal investigation” of the election<sup>3</sup> as well as a similar announcement immediately following the November 2020 election.<sup>4</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Patrick Marley, *Top Wisconsin Republican Robin Vos Hires Former Cops to Investigate November Election*, Milwaukee J. Sentinel (updated May 26, 2021, 5:16 PM), <https://www.jsonline.com/story/news/politics/elections/2021/05/26/wisconsin-republican-robin-vos-hires-ex-cops-investigate-election/7455034002/>.

<sup>2</sup> *Id.*

<sup>3</sup> Briana Reilly, *Wisconsin Assembly Election Panel Aims to Begin ‘Formal Investigation’ into 2020*, Capital Times, Apr. 6, 2021, [https://madison.com/ct/news/local/govt-and-politics/wisconsin-assembly-elections-panel-aims-to-begin-formal-investigation-into-2020/article\\_1ad90e31-db74-5a14-aceb-ac51435e4a50.html](https://madison.com/ct/news/local/govt-and-politics/wisconsin-assembly-elections-panel-aims-to-begin-formal-investigation-into-2020/article_1ad90e31-db74-5a14-aceb-ac51435e4a50.html).

<sup>4</sup> Patrick Marley, *Top Republican Says an Investigation of Wisconsin’s Election Is Unlikely to Take Away Biden’s Win in the State*, Milwaukee J. Sentinel (updated Nov. 12, 2020, 8:42 AM), <https://www.jsonline.com/story/news/politics/elections/2020/11/11/wisconsin-republicans-say-election-probe-likely-wont-change-results/6248225002/>.



American Oversight seeks records with the potential to shed light on the legislature's decision to investigate the results of the November 2020 election.

### **Requested Records**

American Oversight requests that your office produce the following records “as soon as practicable and without delay”:<sup>5</sup>

All records reflecting communications (including emails, email attachments, text messages, messages on messaging platforms (such as Slack, GChat or Google Hangouts, Lync, Skype, or WhatsApp), telephone call logs, calendar invitations, calendar entries, meeting notices, meeting agendas, informational material, draft legislation, talking points, any handwritten or electronic notes taken during any oral communications, summaries of any oral communications, or other materials) between (A) Representative Robin Vos or anyone communicating on his behalf, such a scheduler or assistant, or by his chief of staff Jenny Toftness, his legal advisor Steve Fawcett, or his outreach director Joe Handrick, and (B) any of the individuals or entities listed below. This search should include emails sent or received from the listed officials' individual accounts if they were used to conduct official business, as well those sent from their official email addresses.

Please provide all responsive records from November 3, 2020, through the date the search is conducted.

#### Specified Parties:

1. Wisconsin Elections Commissioner Dean Knudson (including, but not limited to dknudson@comcast.net, dean@deanknudson.com, dean.knudson@wisconsin.gov)
2. Wisconsin Elections Commissioner Robert Spindell (including, but not limited to robert.spindell@wisconsin.gov and cd4.chairman@wisgop.info)
3. Erick Kaardal, or anyone communicating on behalf of Mohrman, Kaardal & Erickson, P.A. (including, but not limited to, anyone communicating from an email address ending in @mkllaw.com)
4. Ron Heuer, or anyone communicating on behalf of the Wisconsin Voters Alliance
5. Karen Mueller, or anyone communicating on behalf of the Amos Center for Justice and Liberty (including, but not limited to, anyone communicating from an email address ending in @amoscenterforjustice.org)
6. Tarren Bragdon, or anyone communicating on behalf of the Foundation for Government Accountability (including anyone communicating from an email address ending in @thefga.org)
7. President Donald J. Trump, Chief of Staff Mark Meadows, Cassidy Hutchinson, or anyone communicating on behalf of the White House, including anyone communicating from an email address ending in @who.eop.gov
8. Anyone communicating from an email address ending in @donaldtrump.com, @donaldjtrump.com, @trumpvictory.com, @45office.com or @trumporg.com

---

<sup>5</sup> Wis. Stat. § 19.35(4)(a).

9. Dan Scavino, Molly Michael, Nicholas Luna, Stephen Miller, Eliza Thurston, Desiree Thompson, or anyone communicating on behalf of the post-presidential transition office of former President Donald Trump.
10. Andrew Hitt, Ronna McDaniel, Laura Cox, Terry Bowman, or anyone communicating from an email address ending in @gop.com, @rnchq.com, @wisgop.org, or @wisgop.info
11. Rudolph Giuliani, or anyone communicating on his behalf (such as Jo Ann Zafonte, Christianne Allen, Beau Wagner, or anyone communicating from an email address ending in @giulianisecurity.com, giulianipartners.com, gdcillc.com)
12. Joseph diGenova, Victoria Toensing, or anyone communicating on behalf of diGenova & Toensing, LLP (including anyone communicating from an email address ending in @digenovatoensing.com)
13. Sidney Powell, or anyone communicating on behalf of Sidney Powell, P.C. (including anyone communicating from an email address ending in @federalappeals.com)
14. Jenna Ellis, or anyone communicating on behalf of Liberty University's Falkirk Center (including anyone communicating from an email address ending in @falkirkcenter.com or liberty.edu), the Thomas More Society (@thomasmoresociety.org), or the American Greatness Fund (@americangreatnessfund.com)
15. Cleta Mitchell (including, but not limited to, cleta@cletamitchell.com, cmitchell@foley.com, or email addresses ending in @bradleyfdn.org or @freedomworks.org)
16. Michael Farris, or anyone communicating from an email address ending in @adflegal.org
17. James Troupis (including, but not limited to, troupisjames@gmail.com and other known email addresses), Christ Troupis, or anyone communicating on behalf of Troupis Law Office (including, but not limited to, anyone communicating from an email address ending in @trouplawoffice.com)
18. Stewart Karge, Chief Legal Officer of Advanced Medical Pricing Solutions
19. Joseph Voiland (including, but not limited to, jwvoiland@yahoo.com)
20. William Bock III, James Knauer, Kevin Koons, or anyone communicating on behalf of Kroger, Gardis & Regas, LLP (including anyone communicating from an email address ending in @kgrlaw.com)
21. Howard Kleinhendler (including, but not limited to, howard@kleinhendler.com)
22. R. George Burnett, or anyone communicating on behalf of Conway, Olejniczak & Jerry S.C. (including anyone communicating from an email address ending in @lcojlaw.com)
23. Earl Eugene Kern, or anyone communicating on behalf of Wake Technology Services, Inc. (including anyone communicating from an email address ending in @waketsi.com)
24. Ben Cotton, or anyone communicating on behalf of CyFir (including anyone communicating from an email address ending in @cyfir.com)
25. Doug Logan, or anyone communicating on behalf of Cyber Ninjas (including anyone communicating from an email address ending in @cyberninjas.com)
26. Colonel Phil Waldron (including, but not limited to phil@onewarrior.com), Russell Ramsland, or anyone communicating on behalf of Allied Security

Operations Group (including anyone communicating from an email address ending in @alliedspecialops.us)

27. Jovan Hutton Pulitzer

28. Ken Bennet, Karen Fann, or anyone communicating on behalf of the Arizona state senate (including anyone communicating from an email address ending in azleg.com)

Please note that American Oversight does not seek, and that this request specifically excludes, the initial mailing of news clips or other mass-distribution emails. However, subsequent communications forwarding such emails are responsive to this request. In other words, for example, if Rep. Vos received a mass-distribution news clip email from the Wisconsin Republican Party, that initial email would not be responsive to this request. However, if Rep. Vos forwarded that email to another individual with his own commentary, that subsequent message would be responsive to this request and should be produced.

### **Fee Waiver Request**

In accordance with Wis. Stat. § 19.35(3)(e), American Oversight respectfully requests that the records be produced without charge. Providing American Oversight with a waiver of fees is in the “public interest” because American Oversight will, in accordance with its organizational mission, make the records available to the public without charge. These disclosures will likely contribute to a better understanding of relevant government action by the general public.

American Oversight’s work is aimed solely at serving the public interest. As a 501(c)(3) nonprofit, American Oversight does not have a commercial purpose and the release of the information requested is not in American Oversight’s financial interest. Rather, American Oversight’s mission is to serve the public by promoting transparency in government, educating the public about government activities, and ensuring the accountability of government officials. American Oversight uses the information gathered, and its analysis of it, to educate the public through reports, press releases, or other media.<sup>6</sup> American Oversight also makes materials it gathers available on its public

---

<sup>6</sup> See generally *News*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/blog>; *State Investigations*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/states>; see, e.g., *State Government Contacts with Voting-Restriction Activists*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/state-government-contacts-with-voting-restriction-activists>; *Wisconsin Documents Offer Window into Early Uncertainty over COVID-19*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/wisconsin-documents-offer-window-into-early-uncertainty-over-covid-19>.

website<sup>7</sup> and promotes their availability on social media platforms, such as Facebook and Twitter.<sup>8</sup>

The public has a significant interest in the Wisconsin state legislature's investigation of the November 2020 election results.<sup>9</sup> Records with the potential to shed light on this matter would contribute significantly to public understanding of operations of the government, including whether or to what extent partisan motivations or external actors guided the decision to open an investigation. American Oversight is committed to transparency and makes the responses agencies provide to public records requests publicly available, and the public's understanding of the government's activities would be enhanced through American Oversight's analysis and publication of these records.

American Oversight asks that if its request for a fee waiver is denied in whole or in part, that you contact us prior to incurring any costs.

### **Guidance Regarding the Search & Processing of Requested Records**

American Oversight seeks all responsive records regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics. In conducting your search, please understand the term "record" in its broadest sense, to include any written, typed, recorded, graphic, printed, or audio material of any kind. We seek records of any kind, including electronic records, audiotapes, videotapes, and photographs, as well as letters, emails, facsimiles, telephone messages, voice mail messages and transcripts, notes, or minutes of any meetings, telephone conversations or discussions. Our request includes any attachments to these records. **No category of material should be omitted from search, collection, and production.**

Please search all locations and systems likely to have responsive records regarding official business. **You may not exclude searches of files or emails in the personal custody of your officials, such as personal email accounts.** Emails conducting government business sent or received on the personal account of the authority's officer or employee constitutes a record for purposes of Wisconsin's public records laws.<sup>10</sup>

In the event some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records.<sup>11</sup> If it is your position that a document contains non-exempt

---

<sup>7</sup> *Documents*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/documents>.

<sup>8</sup> American Oversight currently has approximately 15,670 page likes on Facebook and 106,200 followers on Twitter. American Oversight, Facebook, <https://www.facebook.com/weareoversight/> (last visited May 26, 2021); American Oversight (@weareoversight), Twitter, <https://twitter.com/weareoversight> (last visited May 6, 2021).

<sup>9</sup> *See supra*, notes 1, 3 & 4.

<sup>10</sup> Wisc. Dep't of J., *Wisconsin Public Records Law Compliance Guide*, Oct. 2019, at 3, <https://www.doj.state.wi.us/sites/default/files/office-open-government/Resources/PRL-GUIDE.pdf>.

<sup>11</sup> Wis. Stat. § 19.36(6).

segments, but that those non-exempt segments are so dispersed throughout the document as to make segregation impossible, please state what portion of the document is non-exempt, and how the material is dispersed throughout the document. If a request is denied in whole, please state specifically that it is not reasonable to segregate portions of the record for release.

Please take appropriate steps to ensure that records responsive to this request are not deleted by the agency before the completion of processing for this request. If records potentially responsive to this request are likely to be located on systems where they are subject to potential deletion, including on a scheduled basis, please take steps to prevent that deletion, including, as appropriate, by instituting a litigation hold on those records.

### **Conclusion**

If you have any questions regarding how to construe this request for records or believe that further discussions regarding search and processing would facilitate a more efficient production of records of interest to American Oversight, please do not hesitate to contact American Oversight to discuss this request. American Oversight welcomes an opportunity to discuss its request with you before you undertake your search or incur search or duplication costs. By working together at the outset, American Oversight and your agency can decrease the likelihood of costly and time-consuming litigation in the future.

Where possible, please provide responsive material in an electronic format by email. Alternatively, please provide responsive material in native format or in PDF format on a USB drive. Please send any responsive material being sent by mail to American Oversight, 1030 15th Street NW, Suite B255, Washington, DC 20005. If it will accelerate release of responsive records to American Oversight, please also provide responsive material on a rolling basis.

We share a common mission to promote transparency in government. American Oversight looks forward to working with your agency on this request. If you do not understand any part of this request, please contact Emma Lewis at [records@americanoversight.org](mailto:records@americanoversight.org) or (202) 919-6303. Also, if American Oversight's request for a fee waiver is not granted in full, please contact us immediately upon making such a determination.

Sincerely,

*/s/ Emma Lewis*

Emma Lewis  
on behalf of  
American Oversight