



November 1, 2021

VIA ONLINE PORTAL

Lynn Parker Dupree
Privacy Office, Mail Stop 0655
Department of Homeland Security
2707 Martin Luther King Jr. Avenue SE
Washington, DC 20528-065
Via Online Portal

Re: Freedom of Information Act Request

Dear FOIA Officer:

Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. § 552, and the implementing regulations of your agency, American Oversight makes the following request for records.

Public reporting has indicated extensive engagement between the Trump administration—including the Department of Justice—the Trump campaign, and state officials in the months following the November 2020 election with the goal of delaying or halting certification, or even overturning its results.¹ Furthermore, lawyers representing the Trump campaign supported conspiracy theories that campaign officials knew to be false.²

American Oversight seeks records with the potential to shed light on efforts by the Trump administration to challenge the results of the November 2020 election.

¹ See, e.g., Amy Gardner, *'I Just Want to Find 11,780 Votes': In Extraordinary Hour-Long Call, Trump Pressures Georgia Secretary of State To Recalculate the Vote in His Favor*, Wash. Post (Jan. 3, 2021, 9:59 PM), https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/trump-raffensperger-call-georgia-vote/2021/01/03/d45acb92-4dc4-11eb-bda4-615aaefd0555_story.html; Yvonne Wingett Sanchez, *'We Need You to Stop the Counting': Records Detail Intense Efforts by Trump Allies to Pressure Maricopa County Supervisors*, AZ Republic (updated July 5, 2021, 11:47 AM), <https://www.azcentral.com/story/news/politics/elections/2021/07/02/records-show-trump-allies-kelli-ward-rudy-giuliani-pressured-county-officials-over-election-results/7813304002/>; Jonathan Tamari, *A Report by Senate Democrats Targeted PA Republicans Scott Perry, Doug Mastriano for Aiding Trump's Attempts to Overturn the 2020 Election*, PA Inquirer, updated Oct. 7, 2021, <https://www.inquirer.com/news/scott-perry-doug-mastriano-pa-2020-election-investigation-20211007.html>.

² Alan Feuer, *Trump Campaign Knew Lawyers' Voting Machine Claims Were Baseless, Memo Shows*, N.Y. Times, Sept. 21, 2021, <https://www.nytimes.com/2021/09/21/us/politics/trump-dominion-voting.html>.



Requested Records

American Oversight requests that your agency produce the following records within twenty business days:

All email communications (including emails, email attachments, complete email chains, calendar invitations, and calendar invitation attachments) and text messages or messages on messaging platforms (such as Slack, GChat or Google Hangouts, Lync, Skype, Twitter direct messages, Facebook messages, WhatsApp, Signal, Telegram, or Parler) between (a) the agency officials specified in Column A and the (b) external entities listed in Column B.

Column A: Agency Officials	Column B: External Individuals & Entities
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Chad Wolf, Acting DHS Secretary 2. Ken Cuccinelli, Senior Official Performing the Duties of the Deputy Secretary 3. John Gountanis, Acting Chief of Staff 4. Chad Mizelle, Senior Official Performing the Duties of the General Counsel 5. Chris Krebs, CISA Director 6. Matthew Travis, CISA Deputy Director 7. Brandon Wales, Acting CISA Director 8. Steven Harris, Acting CISA Deputy Director 9. Brandon Wales, CISA Executive Director 10. Emily Early, CISA Chief of Staff 	<p>Representatives of the Donald J. Trump campaign for president including but not limited to the following individuals or entities</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Rudolph Giuliani, and anyone communicating on his behalf (such as Jo Ann Zafonte, Christianne Allen, Beau Wagner, or anyone communicating from an email address ending in @giulianisecurity.com, giulianipartners.com, or gdcillc.com) 2. Cleta Mitchell (cleta@cletamitchell.com, cmitchell@foley.com, or email addresses ending in @bradleyfdn.org) 3. Jenna Ellis, and anyone communicating on behalf of Liberty University’s Falkirk Center (including anyone communicating from an email address ending in @falkirkcenter.com), the Thomas More Society (including anyone communicating from @thomasmoresociety.org), or the American Greatness Fund (@americangreatnessfund.com) 4. Justin Clark, Nathan Groth, Stefan Passantino, or anyone

	<p>communicating on behalf of Michael Best & Friedrich LLP (including anyone communicating from an email address ending in @michaelbest.com)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. Joseph diGenova, Victoria Toensing, or anyone communicating on behalf of diGenova & Toensing, LLP (@digenovatoensing.com) 6. Lin Wood, or anyone communicating on behalf of Fight Back Foundation (@fightback.law) 7. Kory Langhofer, Tom Basile, or anyone communicating on behalf of Statecraft Law (@statecraftlaw.com) 8. Brett Johnson, Eric Spencer, or anyone communicating on behalf of Snell & Wilmer Law Group (@swlaw.com) 9. Linda Kerns, or anyone communicating on behalf of the Law Offices of Linda A. Kerns, LLC (@lindakernslaw.com) 10. Marc Scaringi, or anyone communicating on behalf of Scaringi Law (@scarinigilaw.com) 11. Jim Troupis (troupejames@gmail.com, jtroupejames@hotmail.com) 12. Kurt Hilbert (khillbert@hilbertlaw.com) 13. Mark Post, or anyone communicating on behalf of Mark Post Law, LLC (@markpostlaw.com) 14. Mark Thor, or anyone communicating on behalf of True North Law Group (@truenorth.com) 15. Jonathan Goldstein, Britain Henry, or anyone communicating on behalf of
--	---

	<p>Goldstein Law Partners (@goldsteinlp.com)</p> <p>16. Ronald Hicks Jr., Carolyn McGee, or anyone communicating on behalf of Porter Wright Morris & Arthur, LLP (@portwright.com)</p> <p>17. Ray Smith, or anyone communicating on behalf of Smith & Liss, LLC (@smithliss.com)</p> <p>18. R. George Burnett, or anyone communicating on behalf of Conway, Olejniczak & Jerry S.C. (@lcojlaw.com)</p> <p>19. William Bock III, James Knauer, Kevin Koons, or anyone communicating on behalf of Kroger, Gardis & Regas, LLP (including anyone communicating from an email address ending in @kgrlaw.com)</p> <p>20. Lawrence Joseph, or anyone communicating on behalf of the Law Office of Lawrence J. Joseph (@larryjoseph.com)</p> <p>21. Kurt Olsen</p> <p>22. John Gore, Alex Potapov, or anyone communicating on behalf of Jones Day (including anyone communicating from an email address ending in @jonesday.com)</p> <p>23. Jay Sekulow or anyone communicating on behalf of the American Center for Law & Justice (including anyone communicating from an email address ending in @aclj.org)</p> <p>24. Kris Kobach (kkobach@gmail.com, @kris@kriskobach.com)</p> <p>25. Former North Carolina Chief Justice Mark Martin</p> <p>26. Anyone communicating from an email address ending in</p>
--	--

	<p>@donaldtrump.com, @donaldjtrump.com, @trumpvictory.com, @45office.com, or @trumporg.com</p> <p>27. Bill Stepien 28. Matt Morgan 29. Stephanie Alexander 30. Jeff DeWit 31. Tim Murtaugh 32. Hogan Gidley 33. Mike Roman (mikeroman@protonmail.com) 34. Maggie Mulvaney 35. Megan Powers 36. Caroline Wren 37. Tim Unes 38. Hannah Salem 39. Justin Caporale 40. Gina Swoboda 41. James Fitzpatrick 42. Jason Miller 43. Kimberly Guilfoyle 44. Lara Trump 45. John Pence</p>
--	--

Please note that American Oversight does not seek, and that this request specifically excludes, the initial mailing of news clips or other mass-distribution emails. However, subsequent communications forwarding such emails are responsive to this request. In other words, for example, if a specified individual received a mass-distribution news clip email from one of the listed external entities, that initial email would not be responsive to this request. However, if a specified individual forwarded that email to another individual with his own commentary, that subsequent message would be responsive to this request and should be produced.

Please provide all responsive records from November 1, 2020, through January 20, 2021.

Fee Waiver Request

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii) and your agency’s regulations, American Oversight requests a waiver of fees associated with processing this request for records. The subject of this request concerns the operations of the federal government, and the disclosures will likely contribute to a better understanding of relevant government procedures by the general public in a significant way. Moreover, the request is primarily and fundamentally for non-commercial purposes.

American Oversight requests a waiver of fees because disclosure of the requested information is “in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of operations or activities of the government.”³ The public has a significant interest in communications and documents related to the “Big Lie” conspiracy that the 2020 election was stolen by fraud from former President Trump.⁴ Records with the potential to shed light on this matter would contribute significantly to public understanding of operations of the federal government, including what communications top officials at your agency may have had about the Big Lie. American Oversight is committed to transparency and makes the responses agencies provide to FOIA requests publicly available, and the public’s understanding of the government’s activities would be enhanced through American Oversight’s analysis and publication of these records.

This request is primarily and fundamentally for non-commercial purposes.⁵ As a 501(c)(3) nonprofit, American Oversight does not have a commercial purpose and the release of the information requested is not in American Oversight’s financial interest. American Oversight’s mission is to promote transparency in government, to educate the public about government activities, and to ensure the accountability of government officials. American Oversight uses the information gathered, and its analysis of it, to educate the public through reports, press releases, or other media. American Oversight also makes materials it gathers available on its public website and promotes their availability on social media platforms, such as Facebook and Twitter.⁶

American Oversight has also demonstrated its commitment to the public disclosure of documents and creation of editorial content through regular substantive analyses posted to its website.⁷ Examples reflecting this commitment to the public disclosure of documents and the creation of editorial content include the posting of records related to the Trump Administration’s contacts with Ukraine and analyses of those contacts;⁸ posting records and editorial content about the federal government’s response to the Coronavirus pandemic;⁹ posting records received as part of American Oversight’s

³ 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii).

⁴ *See supra*, notes 1 & 2.

⁵ *See* 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii).

⁶ American Oversight currently has approximately 15,630 page likes on Facebook and 108,900 followers on Twitter. American Oversight, Facebook, <https://www.facebook.com/weareoversight/> (last visited Oct. 26, 2021); American Oversight (@weareoversight), Twitter, <https://twitter.com/weareoversight> (last visited Oct. 26, 2021).

⁷ *See generally* News, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/blog>.

⁸ *Trump Administration’s Contacts with Ukraine*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/the-trump-administrations-contacts-with-ukraine>.

⁹ *See generally* *The Trump Administration’s Response to Coronavirus*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/the-trump-administrations-response-to-coronavirus>; *see, e.g.,* *CDC Calendars from 2018 and 2019: Pandemic-Related Briefings and Meetings*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/cdc-calendars-from-2018-and-2019-pandemic-related-briefings-and-meetings>.

“Audit the Wall” project to gather and analyze information related to the administration’s proposed construction of a barrier along the U.S.-Mexico border, and analyses of what those records reveal;¹⁰ the posting of records related to an ethics waiver received by a senior Department of Justice attorney and an analysis of what those records demonstrated regarding the Department’s process for issuing such waivers;¹¹ and posting records and analysis of federal officials’ use of taxpayer dollars to charter private aircraft or use government planes for unofficial business.¹²

Accordingly, American Oversight qualifies for a fee waiver.

Guidance Regarding the Search & Processing of Requested Records

In connection with its request for records, American Oversight provides the following guidance regarding the scope of the records sought and the search and processing of records:

- Please search all locations and systems likely to have responsive records, regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics. For instance, if the request seeks “communications,” please search all locations likely to contain communications, including relevant hard-copy files, correspondence files, appropriate locations on hard drives and shared drives, emails, text messages or other direct messaging systems (such as iMessage, WhatsApp, Signal, or Twitter direct messages), voicemail messages, instant messaging systems such as Lync or ICQ, and shared messages systems such as Slack.
- Our request for records includes any attachments to those records or other materials enclosed with those records when they were previously transmitted. To the extent that an email is responsive to our request, our request includes all prior messages sent or received in that email chain, as well as any attachments to the email.

¹⁰ See generally *Audit the Wall*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/audit-the-wall>; see, e.g., *Border Wall Investigation Report: No Plans, No Funding, No Timeline, No Wall*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/border-wall-investigation-report-no-plans-no-funding-no-timeline-no-wall>.

¹¹ *DOJ Records Relating to Solicitor General Noel Francisco’s Recusal*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/document/doj-civil-division-response-noel-francisco-compliance>; *Francisco & the Travel Ban: What We Learned from the DOJ Documents*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/francisco-the-travel-ban-what-we-learned-from-the-doj-documents>.

¹² See generally *Swamp Airlines: Chartered Jets at Taxpayer Expense*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/swamp-airlines-private-jets-taxpayer-expense>; see, e.g., *New Information on Pompeo’s 2017 Trips to His Home State*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/new-information-on-pompeos-2017-trips-to-his-home-state>.

- Please search all relevant records or systems containing records regarding agency business. Do not exclude records regarding agency business contained in files, email accounts, or devices in the personal custody of your officials, such as personal email accounts or text messages. Records of official business conducted using unofficial systems or stored outside of official files are subject to the Federal Records Act and FOIA.¹³ It is not adequate to rely on policies and procedures that require officials to move such information to official systems within a certain period of time; American Oversight has a right to records contained in those files even if material has not yet been moved to official systems or if officials have, by intent or through negligence, failed to meet their obligations.¹⁴
- Please use all tools available to your agency to conduct a complete and efficient search for potentially responsive records. Agencies are subject to government-wide requirements to manage agency information electronically,¹⁵ and many agencies have adopted the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) Capstone program, or similar policies. These systems provide options for searching emails and other electronic records in a manner that is reasonably likely to be more complete than just searching individual custodian files. For example, a custodian may have deleted a responsive email from his or her email program, but your agency’s archiving tools may capture that email under Capstone. At the same time, custodian searches are still necessary; agencies may not have direct access to files stored in .PST files, outside of network drives, in paper format, or in personal email accounts.
- In the event some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records. If a request is denied in whole, please state specifically why it is not reasonable to segregate portions of the record for release.
- Please take appropriate steps to ensure that records responsive to this request are not deleted by the agency before the completion of processing for this request. If records potentially responsive to this request are likely to be located on systems where they are subject to potential deletion, including on a scheduled

¹³ See *Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy*, 827 F.3d 145, 149–50 (D.C. Cir. 2016); cf. *Judicial Watch, Inc. v. Kerry*, 844 F.3d 952, 955–56 (D.C. Cir. 2016).

¹⁴ See *Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy*, No. 14-cv-765, slip op. at 8 (D.D.C. Dec. 12, 2016).

¹⁵ Presidential Memorandum—Managing Government Records, 76 Fed. Reg. 75,423 (Nov. 28, 2011), <https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/the-press-office/2011/11/28/presidential-memorandum-managing-government-records>; Office of Mgmt. & Budget, Exec. Office of the President, Memorandum for the Heads of Executive Departments & Independent Agencies, “Managing Government Records Directive,” M-12-18 (Aug. 24, 2012), <https://www.archives.gov/files/records-mgmt/m-12-18.pdf>.

basis, please take steps to prevent that deletion, including, as appropriate, by instituting a litigation hold on those records.

Conclusion

If you have any questions regarding how to construe this request for records or believe that further discussions regarding search and processing would facilitate a more efficient production of records of interest to American Oversight, please do not hesitate to contact American Oversight to discuss this request. American Oversight welcomes an opportunity to discuss its request with you before you undertake your search or incur search or duplication costs. By working together at the outset, American Oversight and your agency can decrease the likelihood of costly and time-consuming litigation in the future.

Where possible, please provide responsive material in an electronic format by email. Alternatively, please provide responsive material in native format or in PDF format on a USB drive. Please send any responsive material being sent by mail to American Oversight, 1030 15th Street NW, Suite B255, Washington, DC 20005. If it will accelerate release of responsive records to American Oversight, please also provide responsive material on a rolling basis.

We share a common mission to promote transparency in government. American Oversight looks forward to working with your agency on this request. If you do not understand any part of this request, please contact Mehreen Rasheed at foia@americanoversight.org or (202) 848-1320. Also, if American Oversight's request for a fee waiver is not granted in full, please contact us immediately upon making such a determination.

Sincerely,

/s/ Mehreen Rasheed
Mehreen Rasheed
on behalf of
American Oversight