



October 18, 2022

VIA EMAIL

Mason County Sheriff's Office
302 N. Delia St.
Ludington, MI 49431
sheriff@masoncounty.net

Re: Freedom of Information Act Request

Dear FOIA Coordinator:

Pursuant to Michigan's Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), as codified at Mich. Comp. Laws Ann. § 15.231 et seq., American Oversight makes the following request for records.

Requested Records

American Oversight requests that your office respond to this request for the following records within five business days:

All email communications (including emails, email attachments, complete email chains, calendar invitations, and calendar invitation attachments) and text messages or messages on messaging platforms (such as Slack, GChat or Google Hangouts, Lync, Skype, Twitter direct messages, Facebook messages, WhatsApp, Signal, Telegram, or Parler) between (A) Sheriff Kim Cole, and (B) the external individuals or entities listed below:

Specified Individuals and Entities:

1. MI Rep. Daire Rendon (dairerendon@gmail.com, daire.rendon@mi.house.gov)
2. Ann Howard (ahoward@annhowardlaw.com)
3. Ben Cotton (bencotton@cytechservices.com), or anyone communicating from an email address ending in @cyfir.com or @esentire.com
4. Bernard Kerik (bernardkerik@protonmail.com)
5. Colonel Phil Waldron (phil@onewarrior.com), or anyone communicating from an email address ending in @bonfiresearch.org
6. Conan Hayes (conan@krknsys.com, surfsupnow@proton.com), or anyone communicating from an email address ending in @krknsys.com
7. Daniel J. Hartman (danjh1234@yahoo.com)
8. Doug Logan, or anyone communicating on behalf of Cyber Ninjas (@cyberninjas.com)
9. Emily Newman (enewman@protonmail.com)
10. Eric Quinnell (equinnell@protonmail.com)
11. Jenna Ellis (jenna.ellis.esq@gmail.com)



12. Jim Penrose, or anyone communicating from an email address ending in @jimpenrose.org
13. Jeff Lenberg (jeff.lenberg@wlpow.com)
14. Justice Michael Gableman (mgableman@yahoo.com, wijustice@protonmail.com, coms@wispecialcounsel.org, mgableman@protonmail.com)
15. Katherine Friess (kfriess@protonmail.com)
16. Patrick Byrne, Mike Roman (mikeroman@protonmail.com), or anyone communicating on behalf of the America Project (@americaproject.com or @theamericaproject.com)
17. Patrick Colbeck (patrick.colbeck@protonmail.com, pjcolbeck@comcast.net), or anyone communicating from an email address ending in @migrassrootsalliance.org
18. Sidney Powell (sherlock1776@protonmail.com, sidney@federalappeals.com, sp@seeking-justice.org)
19. Stefanie Lambert (stefanielambert@protonmail.com)
20. Todd Sanders (t@bonfiresearch.org, todd@americaproject.com)
21. William Charles Bundren (charles@bundrenlaw.net)
22. Anyone communicating on behalf of Speckin Forensic Laboratories (@4n6.com)
23. Matthew DePerno (matthew@depernolaw.com)
24. Mike Lindell (mike@mypillow.com), Michele Replogle, or anyone communicating from an email address ending in @mypillow.com

Please provide all responsive records from November 3, 2020, through the date the search is conducted.

Fee Waiver Request

In accordance with Mich. Comp. Laws Ann. § 15.234(2), American Oversight requests a waiver of fees associated with processing this request for records. A waiver of fees for this request “is in the public interest because searching for or furnishing copies of the public record[s] can be considered as primarily benefiting the general public.”¹

The public has a significant interest in communications officials may be having with proponents of the false theory that the 2020 election was stolen. Records with the potential to shed light on this matter will help American Oversight and the general public understand whether and to what extent these communications are taking place.

American Oversight is committed to transparency and makes the responses agencies provide to FOIA requests publicly available, and the general public’s understanding of the government’s activities would be enhanced through American Oversight’s analysis and publication of these records. American Oversight’s mission is to promote transparency in government, to educate the public about government activities, and to ensure the accountability of government officials. American Oversight uses the information gathered, and its analysis of it, to educate the public through reports, press

¹ Mich. Comp. Laws Ann. § 15.234(2).

releases, or other media. American Oversight also makes materials it gathers available on its public website and promotes their availability on social media platforms, such as Facebook and Twitter.²

American Oversight has also demonstrated its commitment to the public disclosure of documents and creation of editorial content through numerous substantive analyses posted to its website.³ Examples reflecting this commitment to the public disclosure of documents and the creation of editorial content include the posting of records related to the organization's investigations into misconduct and corruption in state governments;⁴ an ethics waiver received by a senior U.S. Department of Justice attorney and an analysis of what those records demonstrated regarding the Department's process for issuing such waivers;⁵ posting records received as part of American Oversight's "Audit the Wall" project to gather and analyze information related to the federal administration's proposed construction of a barrier along the U.S.-Mexico border, and analyses of what those records reveal;⁶ posting records regarding potential self-dealing at the U.S. Department of Housing & Urban Development and related analysis;⁷ posting records and analysis relating to the federal government's efforts to sell nuclear technology to Saudi Arabia;⁸ and posting records and analysis regarding the U.S. Department of Justice's decision in response to demands from Congress to direct a U.S. Attorney to undertake a wide-ranging review and make recommendations regarding criminal investigations relating to the President's political opponents and

² American Oversight currently has approximately 16,000 followers on Facebook and 119,300 followers on Twitter. American Oversight, Facebook, <https://www.facebook.com/weareoversight/> (last visited Oct. 17, 2022); American Oversight (@weareoversight), Twitter, <https://twitter.com/weareoversight> (last visited Oct. 17, 2022).

³ *News*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/blog>.

⁴ *State Investigations*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/states>.

⁵ *DOJ Records Relating to Solicitor General Noel Francisco's Recusal*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/document/doj-civil-division-response-noel-francisco-compliance>; *Francisco & the Travel Ban: What We Learned from the DOJ Documents*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/francisco-the-travel-ban-what-we-learned-from-the-doj-documents>.

⁶ *See generally Audit the Wall*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/audit-the-wall>; *see, e.g., Border Wall Investigation Report: No Plans, No Funding, No Timeline, No Wall*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/border-wall-investigation-report-no-plans-no-funding-no-timeline-no-wall>.

⁷ *Documents Reveal Ben Carson Jr.'s Attempts to Use His Influence at HUD to Help His Business*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/documents-reveal-ben-carson-jr-s-attempts-to-use-his-influence-at-hud-to-help-his-business>.

⁸ *Investigating the Trump Administration's Efforts to Sell Nuclear Technology to Saudi Arabia*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/investigating-the-trump-administrations-efforts-to-sell-nuclear-technology-to-saudi-arabia>.

allegations of misconduct by the Department of Justice itself and the Federal Bureau of Investigation.⁹

Finally, this request is primarily and fundamentally for non-commercial purposes. As a 501(c)(3) nonprofit, American Oversight does not have a commercial purpose and the release of the information requested is not in American Oversight's financial interest.

Accordingly, American Oversight qualifies for a fee waiver.

Guidance Regarding the Search & Processing of Requested Records

In connection with its request for records, American Oversight provides the following guidance regarding the scope of the records sought and the search and processing of records:

- Please search all locations and systems likely to have responsive records, regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics. For instance, if the request seeks “communications,” please search all locations likely to contain communications, including relevant hard-copy files, correspondence files, appropriate locations on hard drives and shared drives, emails, text messages or other direct messaging systems (such as iMessage, WhatsApp, Signal, or Twitter direct messages), voicemail messages, instant messaging systems such as Lync or ICQ, and shared messages systems such as Slack.
- Our request for records includes any attachments to those records or other materials enclosed with those records when they were previously transmitted. To the extent that an email is responsive to our request, our request includes all prior messages sent or received in that email chain, as well as any attachments to the email.
- Please use all tools available to your agency to conduct a complete and efficient search for potentially responsive records. Please search all relevant records or systems containing records regarding agency business. Do not exclude records regarding agency business contained in files, email accounts, or devices in the personal custody of your officials, such as personal email accounts or text messages.
- In the event some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records.¹⁰ If a request is denied in whole, please state specifically why it is not reasonable to segregate portions of the record for release.
- Please take appropriate steps to ensure that records responsive to this request are not deleted by the agency before the completion of processing for this

⁹ *Sessions' Letter Shows DOJ Acted on Trump's Authoritarian Demand to Investigate Clinton*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/sessions-letter>.

¹⁰ Mich. Comp. Laws Ann. § 15.234(14).

request. If records potentially responsive to this request are likely to be located on systems where they are subject to potential deletion, including on a scheduled basis, please take steps to prevent that deletion, including, as appropriate, by instituting a litigation hold on those records.

Conclusion

If you have any questions regarding how to construe this request for records or believe that further discussions regarding search and processing would facilitate a more efficient production of records of interest to American Oversight, please do not hesitate to contact American Oversight to discuss this request. American Oversight welcomes an opportunity to discuss its request with you before you undertake your search or incur search or duplication costs. By working together at the outset, American Oversight and your agency can decrease the likelihood of costly and time-consuming litigation in the future.

Where possible, please provide responsive material in an electronic format by email. Alternatively, please provide responsive material in native format or in PDF format on a USB drive. Please send any responsive material being sent by mail to American Oversight, 1030 15th Street NW, Suite B255, Washington, DC 20005. If it will accelerate release of responsive records to American Oversight, please also provide responsive material on a rolling basis.

We share a common mission to promote transparency in government. American Oversight looks forward to working with your agency on this request. If you do not understand any part of this request, please contact Sarah Colombo at records@americanoversight.org or (202) 869-5244. Also, if American Oversight's request for a fee waiver is not granted in full, please contact us immediately upon making such a determination.

Sincerely,

/s/ Sarah Colombo
Sarah Colombo
on behalf of
American Oversight